

**From:** JRC-IPTS-EIPPCB-WI@ec.europa.eu [mailto:JRC-IPTS-EIPPCB-WI@ec.europa.eu]  
**Sent:** vendredi 27 mars 2015 16:48  
[to the WI BREF TWG]  
**Subject:** March 2015 - Update to WI TWG  
**Importance:** High

Dear Colleagues,

We would like to bring you up to date on developments on the review of the WI BREF.

## 1. Further Exchange on Key Environmental Issues (Emissions to Air)

Firstly thank you to everyone who contributed to the discussion by posting further information on BATIS. We received contributions from 7 Member States, EEB and the industry associations. As we said when inviting further contributions, we were concerned that the interim conclusions reached at the kick-off meeting did not have a sufficiently strong consensus to take the WI BREF review forward in an appropriate and effective manner. The key outcome of the further exchange is that whilst the TWG generally supports the principle of prioritisation of key environmental pollutants, the comments received support the view that this is done only after the data collection; arguing that data for these pollutants are readily available. As a result of this further exchange, we would propose that the interim conclusions are replaced as set out below:

- The pollutants listed in IED Chapter IV/Annex VI part 3 are key environmental pollutants for air emissions.
- In order to focus the review of the WI BREF, to distinguish environmental issues according to the following 3 categories or groups:
  1. Key environmental issues in the context of this WI BREF review:
    - NO<sub>x</sub>, NH<sub>3</sub>, Hg, PCDD/F.
  2. Potential key environmental issues in the context of this WI BREF review, if demonstrated by the data collection:
    - Dust and metals.
    - PCBs and PAHs including Benzo(a)pyrene.
    - SO<sub>2</sub> and HCl.
  3. Issues not initially considered to be a priority in the context of this WI BREF review:
    - HF.
    - TOC and CO.

- CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O.
  - PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub>.
- Collect data through questionnaires on the pollutants in IED (NO<sub>x</sub>, PCDD/F, TOC, dust, Hg, Cd+Tl, Sb+As+Pb+Cr+Co+Cu+Mn+Ni+V, SO<sub>2</sub>, HCl, HF and CO) and emissions on NH<sub>3</sub>; PCBs, PAHs including BaP.

Based on the outcome of the data collection, a review of the above prioritisation of these key environmental pollutants will be made based on the following principles:

- The potential for the BREF and BAT conclusions to identify techniques that would further significantly reduce emissions from the pollutant within the WI sector taking into account any cross-media effects.
- The potential for the BREF to set BAT-AELs that would significantly improve the level of environmental protection for the environment as a whole from the WI sector in comparison with the current performance (which will mainly be driven by the ELVs in Annex VI of the IED).

The TWG does not anticipate setting BAT-AELs on those pollutants shown by the data collection where a BAT-AEL would not significantly improve the current level of environmental protection already provided by the Chapter IV / Annex VI ELV.

Where contextual data on this issues PM<sub>10</sub>/PM<sub>2.5</sub>, CO<sub>2</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O and CH<sub>4</sub> are provided, this would be used in order to improve the current emissions and consumption levels chapter of the WI BREF, but it is not expected to set BAT-AELs on these parameters.

We would therefore propose to replace the interim conclusions on this matter previously circulated with the above text. As with all the other conclusions, if any TWG Members cannot live with this proposal, we would ask them to indicate this by posting on BATIS (**Forums > Waste Incineration > Review of the Waste Incineration BREF 2014 > 03 Kick-off meeting > 03 TWG meeting conclusions**) the reason of your disagreement and we will record that the Member cannot support this conclusion in the final document.

[other not relevant part deleted]